# CLYDE SANDERS ELEMENTARY 805 Morrison Drive Charleston, South Carolina 29403 PK-6 Elementary School GRADES 184 Students ENROLLMENT MiShawna Moore 843-724-7782 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria Goodloe 843-937-6319 Mr. Gregg Meyers 843-720-8714 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: UNSATISFACTORY Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 18 26 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 6 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

**Mathematics** 

English/Language Arts

**Parents** 

## PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	No

### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

Our School Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

### **Definition of Critical Terms**

**Mathematics** 

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

**NOTE:** Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

	Teachers	Students				
No. of the state o	0.5	40				

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

25	19	0
84.0%	89.5%	N/R
84.0%	100.0%	N/R
41.7%	94.7%	N/R
	84.0% 84.0%	84.0% 89.5% 84.0% 100.0%

#### PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective July of Testing olo Belom Baeic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students N/A 118 100.0 61.2 33.0 5.8 5.8 17.6 Gender Male 55 100.0 66.7 33.3 N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Female 100.0 56.4 32.7 10.9 N/A 10.9 17.6 63 Racial/Ethnic Group 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 White N/A African-American 100.0 61.2 33.0 5.8 N/A 5.8 17.6 118 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Hispanic 17.6 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A American Indian/Alaskan 17.6 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 N/A 17.6 83 61.1 30.6 8.3 8.3 Disabled 35 100.0 61.3 38.7 N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 118 100.0 61.2 33.0 5.8 N/A 5.8 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A 0.0 Non-limited English proficient 100.0 61.2 33.0 5.8 N/A 5.8 17.6 118 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 100.0 61.8 32.4 5.9 N/A 5.9 17.6 117 Full-pay meals 1 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Mathematics All students 118 99.2 64.1 33.0 2.9 N/A 2.9 15.5 Gender Male 100.0 58.3 37.5 4.2 N/A 4.2 15.5 55 Female 98.4 69.1 29.1 1.8 N/A 1.8 15.5 63 Racial/Ethnic Group White 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A African-American 118 99.2 64.1 33.0 29 N/A 29 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Hispanic N/A N/A N/A 15.5 0.0 N/A N/A N/A American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 61.1 2.8 N/A 15.5 83 36.1 2.8 Disabled 71.0 25.8 3.2 N/A 3.2 15.5 35 97.1 Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A Non-migrant 118 99.2 64.1 33.0 2.9 N/A 2.9 15.5 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Non-limited English proficient 118 99.2 64.1 33.0 2.9 N/A 2.9 15.5 Socio-Economic Status

#### Abbreviations for Missing Data

63.7

N/A

33.3

N/A

2.9

N/A

N/A

N/A

117

99.1

100.0

2.9

N/A

15.5

15.5

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enrolle	Self Legal	lester ala Be	ONL	Basil ok	Profito 0/0	Advar olo Profic
		EMO	and less	0/08	ol.	ole	0/0	0/0/
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts	/	
	Grade 3	37	N/A	59.5	29.7	10.8	N/A	10.8
	Grade 4	44	N/A	46.5	46.5	7.0	N/A	7.0
2002	Grade 5	33	N/A	61.3	38.7	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Grade 6	40	N/A	54.1	35.1	10.8	N/A	10.8
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	31	100.0	44.0	44.0	12.0	N/A	12.0
	Grade 4	29	100.0	63.0	33.3	3.7	N/A	3.7
33	Grade 5	30	100.0	65.4	34.6	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	28	100.0	72.0	20.0	8.0	N/A	8.0
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				Ma	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	37	N/A	48.6	48.6	2.7	N/A	2.7
	Grade 4	44	N/A	62.8	32.6	4.7	N/A	4.7
2002	Grade 5	33	N/A	50.0	43.8	6.3	N/A	6.3
20	Grade 6	40	N/A	51.4	48.6	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	31	100.0	68.0	24.0	8.0	N/A	8.0
	Grade 4	29	100.0	70.4	29.6	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	30	96.7	57.7	42.3	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	28	100.0	60.0	36.0	4.0	N/A	4.0
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CH		ᄝ	

SCHOOL PROFILE				
C	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 184)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	2.2%	2.4%
Attendance rate	94.6%	Down from 95.4%	95.5%	95.9%
Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No change	4.5%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech	13.6%	Up from 11.3%	8.1%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	31.5%	Up from 8.1%	3.5%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 20)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	65.0%	Up from 64.0%	46.9%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	95.0%	Up from 80.0%	77.4%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	r 69.5%	Down from 69.9%	79.4%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	95.7%	Down from 96.2%	95.3%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$42,338	Up 2.1%	\$37,930	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	10.1 days	N/R	12.7 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	12.0	Up from 11.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	13.1 to 1	Down from 14.0 to 1	16.5 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.5%	Down from 90.6%	89.4%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,447	Up 52.4%	\$7,062	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	68.9%	Down from 71.5%	63.6%	66.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	38.3%	Down from 48.6%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	no	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to oboug in high payorty cabacle	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

## Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insuffice	nt Sample
---	-----------

## REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The administration, faculty and staff of Sanders-Clyde Elementary School invite you to visit our school, where we have formed a "community of child centered opportunities." We are dedicated to helping students realize their potential. We have high expectations for all students and take every opportunity to help students focus on their strengths.

Through a literature rich environment, we help students widen their scope and experience many adventures. We've also extended opportunities for parents to journey with their students through a collaborative parenting program. Our parenting program provides opportunities for parents to enrich their skills, become abreast of community resources, and continue to be "one" of their child's teachers.

Our PACT scores are not reflective of all that we do at the school, but they are an indicator of one of the challenges that faces us. We realize that our scores are not as competitive as we would like them to be; therefore, we've committed ourselves to continuous improvement. The administration and faculty are constantly seeking opportunities on the latest trends in education and implementing a comprehensive educational program.

Student achievement gains are only one of the challenges we face as we prepare our students for the future. Other challenges include inappropriate conflict resolution skills of students, transient population, and a large teacher turnover rate. However, as indicated by the 2002 test data, we have moved from unsatisfactory to below average on our report rating. We are steadfast in working toward continuous improvement in all areas.

We are determined to make Sanders-Clyde Elementary the neighborhood school that thrives on the success of all students. Student success is our only business and our sole linkage to the future. Should you want to help us make a difference in the life of a child, please contact us at (843) 724-7783. Remember, "it takes a village to raise a child."

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.